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Introduction

Identity was an issue for people in the past as it is now. Its analysis spans many disparate disciplines, including those of psychoanalysis and archaeology. This paper, written in the context of the 5-year project Landscape and Identities: the case of the English Landscape 1500 BC—AD 1086 (EngLaId), develops a new approach to the study of past landscapes and identities by reference to a theoretical notion with its roots in psychoanalytical theory, hauntology.¹ In psychoanalytical context, hauntology seeks “to inspect the inside of reason and see how it too is haunted by what it excludes.”² Hauntology did not enjoy widespread acknowledgement until publication of Derrida’s³ Spectres de Marx,⁴ which departed from Abrahams and Torok’s view of the spectral as “an object of knowledge” by accepting its place within the present.⁵ This is also the stance adopted in this paper.

The EngLaId project investigates the complex and evolving nature of human identity from c. 1500 BC to c. AD 1086 through analysis of the creation, use, modification and abandonment of landscapes across England. Identity is seen as deriving from patterns of practice, which are structured by physical circumstances—both ‘natural’ landscapes and their human modifications—existing within a long-term chronological context, although time itself is not necessarily linear. This paper, starting from an early medieval vantage point, will briefly set out its theoretical stance, before applying it to a case study area: the southwestern part of upland Dartmoor (Devon) and adjacent lowland regions (Fig. 1).

Theoretical Background

Since Derrida’s⁶ publication of Spectres de Marx, hauntology has had an impact on many disciplines. The cultural geographers Maddern and Adey,⁷ view hauntology as “the nagging presence of an absence,” likened to an infant burial ground or—perhaps more relevant in the context of the current case study—the absence of permanent early medieval settlement in a region dotted with prehistoric remains. Amongst literary critics, Davis,⁸
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regarding ghost and folk stories as subconscious metaphors for events from the past, has stated that hauntology “[replaces] the priority of being and presence with the figure of the ghost as that which is neither present nor absent, neither dead nor alive.”
The field of archaeology, with its long-term perspective, is suited *par excellence* to explore the notion of hauntology, but this has not yet happened explicitly. Nevertheless, the spectral was an important driving force for archaeological investigation, especially during the early days of the discipline. The late 19th to early 20th-century writer Mary Butts,9 emphasising the relationship between archaeology and storytelling, stated how “archaeology had begun to interest [her]” as she realised the taboos associated with certain Neolithic earthworks where “no shepherd, no farmhand will go . . . after dark.” Or, as Franklin10 has stated, “stories were associated with archaeological features in an attempt to explain their existence and origin when . . . the true nature of their origins was unknown.”

The evidence for Anglo-Saxon perceptions of prehistoric remains has been comprehensively analysed by Semple.11 Emphasising the importance of folk stories and mythology, Semple highlights the recurrent literary interpretation of prehistoric barrows as the dwelling places of evil spirits in sources such as *Beowulf* and the 8th-century *Life of St Guthlac*. More recently, Franklin12 has pointed out that certain topographical circumstances, such as uplands and moors, also tend to be associated with evil spirits: *Beowulf* (Ch. 1, 10) describes Grendel as an inhabiter of moors and fens, whilst the dragon in Part 2 (Ch. 31) inhabits a barrow in an upland zone.

Hauntology can take analysis of early medieval perceptions of landscape forward by moving beyond an understanding of the past as a static entity, a situation that has been described as “the logic of the corpse,” which was only interested in “the broken, the static and the already passed.”13 As Madern and Adey14 have stated, hauntology is well-equipped to “animate silenced agencies and forgotten voices and histories, while also attending to the political aspects of those voices and histories.” As such, hauntology is able to describe “the fluidity of identity among individuals . . . both in the present and over time.”15

An Hauntological Perspective on the Anglo-Saxon Landscape of Dartmoor

Dartmoor is an upland region well known for its excellent survival of Bronze Age field systems.16 From the late Bronze Age, however, Dartmoor became increasingly marginal, a development traditionally attributed to climatic change,17 although more recently this has been questioned.18 Iron Age hillforts were constructed around the moor edge, drawing communal focus away from the earlier ritual monument groupings on the high moor.19 During the Roman and early medieval periods, permanent settlement only occurred in the lower-lying regions surrounding the moor, with Dartmoor mainly used for seasonal transhumance,20 even if the period after c. 800 AD witnessed a warm and dry climatic spell. The Anglo-Saxon association of uplands and barrows with evil spirits and monsters may suggest, therefore, that the moors were left uncolonised (partially) for metaphysical
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reasons. To leave it at that, however, is to view the past as static, to adhere to ‘the logic of the corpse.’ This paper argues instead that the ghosts of ancestors—quite literally—continued to structure the world of the living.

Fleming’s mapping of Dartmoor’s reaves revealed evidence for their continuity as parish boundaries (originating as early medieval estate boundaries) and hedge lines, revealing the long-lasting structuring role of these tangible prehistoric boundary features. In addition, the boundaries of many of the geographical and administrative divisions known as “hundreds” in south Devon, followed rivers and streams—many retaining their original British names—over large distances. Rivers, streams and linear earthworks are natural boundaries and routeways, making them an obvious choice to delineate territory. However, the degree of long-term continuity respects a continuing respect for the past. As Butts has stated, “a place can be more than its assembly of wood and leaf and stone visible to us,” and the ritual significance of water has often been emphasised, especially in the context of votive metalwork deposits.

Too much emphasis on continuity, however, results in a biased understanding of the Dartmoor landscape. Other boundaries were less tangible, their location known only from documentary evidence such as charter bounds. They are frequently associated with prehistoric features such as burial mounds and standing stones. This suggests that these boundaries were also steeped in folklore and engrained in patterns of practice for many centuries, but none of these would have been static. After all, not all prehistoric features fulfilled a similar role; for example, a cluster of hut circles in the north of Plympton Hundred is avoided by all boundaries (Fig. 2). Interestingly, situated around this cluster are three stone crosses, none of which—in contrast to many other crosses within the case study area—correspond to boundaries. Is it possible to suggest that these crosses were erected instead to ward off evil spirits who were perceived to reside still in the hut circles? After all, ghosts were usually confined to specific locales, possibly imprisoned there, as Semple’s reading of The Wife’s Lament suggests.

The selective approach to prehistoric remains echoes Butts’ observation that ghost stories and taboos were associated only with certain monuments. These stories were not static; for example, the eighth-century charter bound describing the Crediton estate to the northeast of Dartmoor includes references to cains æcer (Cain’s acre) and grenadeles pit (Grendel’s pit), the latter representing the attribution of Germanic pre-Christian folklore to a region that had still been British little over a century earlier. Franklin has argued that folk tales from Dartmoor spread to the south Devon coast in the context of seasonal transhumance, providing further evidence for the fluid relationship between landscape and folklore, and between present and past.

Conclusion

This brief paper has tried to demonstrate how the concept of hauntology can help us understand the interaction between past and present, and between memory, identity and landscape. Human identities shaped and were shaped by patterns of practice, which were engrained in memory and folklore that continued to exercise an active role within
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the present. In other words, the present can only exist in relation to the past and the future, but the relationship between past, present and future is complex, as the Anglo-Saxons alternately rejected the spectral presence of their ancestors (as was the case with the hut circles in Plympton hundred) or continued to allow the past to structure their present (and future). The ghosts from the past, whoever and wherever they were, thus continued to shape the identities of the living, whilst the identities of the spectral were also, irrevocably, changed in the process.
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